

**From:** Phil Harty <philhartymusic@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 4:50 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Put Important Charter Review Recommendations Onto the November Ballot

Hello my name is Phil Harty a long time Tacoma resident and concerned citizen. I'm writing to ask that important charter review recommendations be put onto this November's ballot. We need the independent oversight and clear descriptions of powers that would be part of the Office of Policing Accountability and Community Oversight Committee for Policing Accountability, enshrined in law. Therefore approve the Police Accountability recommendation "as is" without any changes or amendments for the November ballot. Also we need decisions being made by elected officials, who are accountable to the public. We need more power to be concentrated in the body of the City Council, not one individual. Approve the recommendation to change the form of government away from having city manager!

The Charter review is an important process for updating the way the city runs, to stay current with our times, address local concerns and needs for making Tacoma a better place to live and work. The city charter should no longer just detail the administration and ethics of local government. Experts according to the National Civic Leagues Model charter recommend the charter also speak to the role of public engagement in local governance by elevating the importance of just, inclusive, and equitable public engagement. Voters need to be allowed the chance to decide what belongs in the charter by weighing in on the recommendations that shape our city's future. The recommendations that have clearly garnered a lot of public interest must be allowed to move forward to the November ballot.

Lastly, running a city is a full time job so approve to make the City Councilmember a full time position, with the ability to ask for support staff. Also Tacoma needs a Climate Commission! The lack of meaningful action since the city's 2019 Climate Emergency Declaration, and the magnitude of the possible consequences, demonstrates why this needs to be a part of the City Charter, not just a resolution or ordinance. Please approve the update to the Planning Commission to move forward. Expanding the commission allows for more diversity of backgrounds and opinions to be represented. With so many neighborhoods already suffering significant health disparities, it's a bit shameful that public health is not currently an area of expertise sought out for the Commission. The same is true for adding affordable housing as an expertise, as we have had a long standing crisis of homelessness.

Thank you for your time and service to the city. Please listen to the people of Tacoma and put the Charter Review Recommendations onto the November Ballot.

Sincerely,  
Phil Harty

**From:** Myers, Rosheida  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 7:03 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** FW: Resident Comments regarding Home-in-Tacoma 2,

Good afternoon,

I wanted to forward the below comments that were sent to the Mayor and City Council Members regarding the HIT2 presentation, thank you.

Rosheida Myers  
Executive Assistant to the City Manager  
City Manager's Office  
747 Market Street, Room 1200, Tacoma, WA 98402  
Phone: 253-591-5134 | Fax: (253) 591-5123

---

**From:** Tom Giske <[tgiske@gmail.com](mailto:tgiske@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 2, 2024 2:21 PM  
**To:** Planning <[planning@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:planning@cityoftacoma.org)>  
**Cc:** Woodards, Victoria <[vwoodards@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:vwoodards@cityoftacoma.org)>; Hines, John <[JHines1@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:JHines1@cityoftacoma.org)>; Rumbaugh, Sarah <[SRumbaugh@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:SRumbaugh@cityoftacoma.org)>; Scott, Jamika <[JScott8@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:JScott8@cityoftacoma.org)>; Bushnell, Joe <[JBushnell2@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:JBushnell2@cityoftacoma.org)>; Walker, Kristina <[KWalker@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:KWalker@cityoftacoma.org)>; City Manager <[CityManager@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:CityManager@cityoftacoma.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: Resident Comments regarding Home-in-Tacoma 2,

I'm sorry to bug you once more, but it was just pointed out to me that:

1. The Home In Tacoma Phase 2 Environmental Impact Statement clearly says HiT2 defines housing unit requirements for the "Lower Zoning Alternative" as 25,660 units, and 53,669 units for the "Higher Zoning Alternative."
  - How can this be based on Vision 2050? It suggests that the "Lower Zoning Alternative" will be adequate. We do not need anywhere near 53,669 units to satisfy 50,000 new residents. Let's just set aside the Higher Zoning Alternative, and talk through more creative ways to approach this.

Over and out!

Tom

On Sun, Jun 2, 2024 at 12:53 PM Tom Giske <[tgiske@gmail.com](mailto:tgiske@gmail.com)> wrote:

Responses to this letter have given facts that need further review:

1. The Puget Sound Regional Council, in their Vision 2050 plan for the region, forecasts that Tacoma will add 50,000 people by 2050.
  - Given that this represents 25-30,000 housing units, it is less than half what is envisioned by HiT2, based on estimates from our Planning Department. Vision 2050 suggests the State was more accurate with House Bill 1110 than our Planning Department. We all agree that increased density is needed, but we do not need to destroy the valued nature of our city to accommodate 50,000 new residents by 2050.
  - The comments merely ask that we lower density objectives by 50%, to be more creative with our plans, look for ways to retain what remains attractive to home buyers in our city, avoid zones that stress our infrastructure, and be open with estimates of increased fees and taxes that will be required to fund infrastructure, school and other improvements required by the increased housing

density.

2. The reasons listed as representing the written comments do not acknowledge Tacoma's high cost of housing.
  - This is accurate. Not a single written comment suggested how we might lower the cost of housing in Tacoma. Increased density may or may not do so. If we could increase supply to levels beyond demand, it likely would lower the cost for buyers. But, what evidence do you have that this will make it a better place to live? Where can you point that demonstrates the end result of this strategy? How do you know that the end result will not cause increased costs of everything else to support those houses?
  - One unintended consequence (or perhaps it is intended?) of increasing density to such levels will, along with the improved public transportation from Tacoma to Seattle, result in Tacoma being purely a bedroom community for a financially sound home in Seattle for more and more businesses. Would it not be a better long term strategy to invest our money and efforts to make it less expensive for businesses to come to Tacoma, rather than playing into the hands of Seattle. Cities without a thriving business community do not have a history of success - only higher taxes for residents.
3. The city does not lose tax revenues from exemptions as suggested in the second bullet of the original letter.
  - This is also accurate. The City does not lose tax revenues because offsetting tax increases are automatically assessed for each exemption. Given the MFTE resolutions that have been approved so far in Pierce County (and nearly all of them in Tacoma have been approved by our City Council), the average home owner in Pierce county is paying an additional \$190 per year to fund the MFTE program. In most other cities, these costs are offset by Impact Fees that support infrastructure improvements, rather than automatic tax increases.

These thoughts are respectfully submitted for your review.

Tom Giske

On Sat, Jun 1, 2024 at 1:54 AM Tom Giske <[tgiske@gmail.com](mailto:tgiske@gmail.com)> wrote:

June 1, 2024

Tacoma Planning Commission  
747 Market Street  
Tacoma, WA 98402

Dear Commissioners,

I am concerned that you have received an inaccurate assessment of comments written by your neighbors in response to the latest Home-In-Tacoma plan. At your last meeting, it was inferred there were some who approved of the plan and some who did not, but no real consensus, either way.

This is simply NOT true. Any quantitative review of the actual written comments would conclude that the people of Tacoma clearly do NOT want this version of Home-in-Tacoma. They are strongly opposed to its aggressive nature, which goes way beyond the goals for Tacoma set by our state legislature in House Bill 1110. Most of the written comments ask why does Tacoma need to double the number of units recommended by the State?

Backing out cover letters, 217 different people submitted comments, 199 of whom wrote specific comments about Home-in-Tacoma. These respondents were engaged, thoughtful and informed. Every comment, without exception, was a serious thoughtful response, as you hoped to receive. Those respondents now expect that you will seriously consider their concerns.

1. Of the 199 responders, **153 (77%)** of those commenting **do not want** Home-in-Tacoma 2, and 125 (63%) of those responding feel very strongly about it.
2. **Only 46 (23%) supported HiT2**, and of those only 26 (13%) were strongly in favor of the plan. Ten of those 26 were builders and/or developers, leaving just **16 out of 199 (8%) of residents strongly supporting HiT2.**

Of the 199 responses, 25 (12.6%) were community organizations representing their members, so counting them as single responders in the analyses above reduces the actual impact of their content.

Bottomline, there is good reason to believe the written comments reflect the whole of our community. If so, at least three-fourths of the people living in Tacoma oppose HiT2 and at least two-thirds feel very strongly about it. The most frequently used reasons are:

- No population forecasts exist that show anywhere near the need for the housing units proclaimed as necessary in HiT2, and historical data of actual growth shows no need for this magnitude of increased housing units.
- No understandable definition of "affordable housing" is put forward in HiT2, and there is no evidence that the complicated formulas for tax exemptions will lower housing costs for residents, only that they will reduce tax revenues for the city and increase profits for developers.
- No justification is given for doubling the density set forth in State House Bill 1110.
- Water, electric and gas utility capacity is inadequate to support the new zone categories, and tax or fee increases for required improvements are not given.
- No impact fees are recommended to offset the cost of infrastructure improvements.
- Unwanted disruption of existing single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve acceptable density levels.
- Unprepared and underfunded school facilities will be further stretched.
- Increased areas of concrete and other sources of heat spots will result.
- Existing parking issues will be further strained; for example, people parking on rights of way because of lack of space. Increased storm water runoff will result from additional concrete and fewer trees.
- It's time to take a big breath. Slow down and get prepared. Take smaller steps, one at a time.

I hope this note has some value to your deliberations. It is not easy to complete this type of analysis. It took three of us to read every page of every comment submitted, and then considerable thought to place each one in the right stack. Attached is the detailed spreadsheet that resulted.

Thank you for requesting these comments and for considering their intent seriously.

Tom Giske

Tacoma Resident

Cc: Victoria Woodards, John Hines, Sarah Rumbaugh, Jamika Scott, Joe Bushnell, Kiara Daniels, Olgy Diaz, Kristina Walker, Elizabeth Pauli

Attachment: Comments Analysis

6/11/2024

## Analysis of HiT2 Comments

Page 1 of 28

						D
No.	# Of Com	# Of Org	Last Name	First Name	Page	Org
						D
1	1	1	Bicycle Pedestrian Technical Advisory Group	BPTAP	9	
2	2	2	Landmarks Preservation Commission	LPC	10	
3	3	3	Parking Technical Advisory Group	PTAG	12	
4	4	4	Sustainable Tacoma Commission	STC	16	
5	5	5	Tacoma Area Commission On Disabilities	TACOD	26	
6	6	6	Tacoma Permit Advisory Group	TPAG	28	
7	7	7	Transportation Commission	TC	74	
8	8		Allsop	Steve	76	
9	9		Andreeva	Rita	78	
10	10		Arianashira	Joy	79	
11	11		Babbitt	Ryan	80	D
12	11		Babbitt	Ryan	81	D
13	12	8	City of Federal Way		83	
14	13	9	Bailey	Bobbie	86	
15	14		Bailey	David	87	
16	15		Barker	Corinne	89	
17	16		Barkley	karol	90	
18	17	10	Bennett	Peter	91	
19	17	10	Bennett	Peter	95	
20	18		Bergman	Alexander	98	D
21	19		Beylerian	Judy	102	
22	19		Beylerian	Judy	103	
23	20		Bickford	Jim	104	
24	21		Bickford	Nancy	105	
25	22			Bill	107	
26	23		Blalock	Gene	108	
27	24		Boers	Jeff	110	
28	25		Boone	Mary	115	
29	26		Braaten	Carole	116	
30	26		Braaten	Carole	245	
31	27		Branstetter	Owen	249	
32	28		Brink	Clinton	250	
33	29		Brown	Amy	253	
34	30		Brown	Billie	254	
35	31		Brown	Reggie	255	D
36	32		Brown	Tara	262	
37	33		Crouse	Liz	352	
38	34		Buffington	Ross	264	

39	34		Buffington	Ross	266	
40	35		Butler	John	267	
41	36	11	Cade	Deborah	268	
42	37		Candy	Colette	274	
43	38		Carkeek	Norman	277	
44	39		Chavez	Luis	278	
45	40		Chichinski	Judy	279	
46	41		Clabaugh	Mary Ann	280	
47	42		Clark	A	281	
48	43		Cline	Gail	282	
49	44		Cline	Tom	284	
50	45		Collins	Ruby	285	
51	46		Comis	Sue	286	
52	47	12	Cook	Jodi	289	
53	47		Cook	Jodi	293	
54	47		Cook	Jodi	296	
55	48	13	Cooper	Robert	310	
56	49		Cordell	Joseph	311	
57	50		Cordis -Lowe	Barbara	312	
58	51		Corso	Geoff	313	
59	51		Corso	Geoff	314	
60	51		Corso	Geoff	342	
61	51		Corso	Geoff	347	
62	51		Corso	Geoff	350	
63	52	14	Crouse	Liz	352	
64	53		Davidson	Jenny	353	
65	54		Davis	Courtney	354	
66	54		Davis	Courtney	355	
67	55		Davis	RaShelle	357	
68	56		Day	Esther	358	
69	57		Dekker	Ruth	369	
70	58		Devlin	Felicity	370	
71	58		Devlin	Felicity	372	
72	59		Diaz	Alexander	374	
73	60		Dickman	Ann	379	
74	61		Dinicola	Karen	380	
75	62		Dolbee	Vanessa	381	
76	63		Donohue	Rick	382	
77	64			Doug	387	
78	65		Duras	Greg	388	
79	66		Eddy	Tom	389	
80	67		Ehret	Frederick	391	
81	68		Ellis	Chris	392	

82	69	15	Evancho	Jane	393	
83	69	15	Evancho	Jane	394	
84	70		Favreau	Andrew	399	
85	71		Felizardo	Gwenda	400	
86	71		Felizardo	Gwenda	406	
87	72		Ferguson	Ben	409	D
88	73		Fetterly	Patricia	412	
89	74		Fikse	Janice	415	
90	75		Foley	Michael	417	
91	76		Fyntrilakis	Anastasia	419	
92	77		Gallo	Marisa	424	
93	78		Gaines	Bill	421	
	77		Gallo			
94	79		Gano	Jason	423	
95	79		Gano	Jason	424	D
96	80		Garcia	Rudy	425	
97	81		Gardner	Laura	427	
98	82		Garibay	Rlto	428	
99	83		Gavin	Connor	429	
100	84		Gavin	Doreen	430	
101	85		Gilham	Wayne	431	
102	86		Giske	Tom	433	
103	86	16	Giske	Tom	436	
104	87		Givens	Ryan	444	
105	88		Glover	Ken	446	
106	89		Graham	Catherine	448	
107	90		Gray	Colleen	450	
108	91	18	Hansen	Shauna	451	
109	92	19	Haug	Andrea	453	
110	92		Haug	Andrea	454	
111	93		Hess	Robert	455	
112	94		Hill	Jamie	456	
113	95		Hollon	Melissa	458	
114	96		Honey	Michael	460	
115	97		Imlig	Karl	461	
116	98	20	Johnson	Deborah	463	
117	99		Johnson	Joy	470	
118	99		Johnson	Joy	471	
119	100		Johnson	Katie	472	
120	101		Johnson	Randy	473	
121	102		Jones	Dena	474	
122	103		Jones	Jean	477	
123	103		Jones	Jean	478	

124	104		Jowers	Judy	479	
125	105		Joyal	Ronald	480	
126	106		Jung	Peter	481	
127	107		Keeton	Jill	482	
128	108		Keller	Anthony	483	
129	109		Kelly	Karen	484	
130	110		Koleszar	Anthony	486	
131	111		Kotsis	Kriszta	487	
132	112		Krueger	Pat	488	
133	113		Kuhlman	James	489	
134	114	21	Lafreniere	Michael	490	
135	114	21	Lafreniere	Michael	494	
136	115		Lantz	Jeanette	499	
137	116		Leberg	Mary	501	
138	117		Lehman	Kenneth	502	
139	118		Lenderink	Darin	504	
140	119		Leusner	Sue	506	
141	120		Loomis	Brenda	510	
142	121		Lucas	Kelly	511	
143	122		Manza	Judy	514	
144	123		Marescalco	Frank	515	D
145	124		Martin	Judith	568	
146	125		Martin	Julia	569	
147	126		Mazarella	Carmen	570	
148	127	22	Mazur	George	571	
149	128		McBride	Dorothy	575	
150	129		McClintock	Marshall	577	
151	130		McRae	Wanda	579	
152	131		Meacham	Ryan	581	D
153	131		Meacham	Ryan	589	D
154	121		Meacham	Ryan	591	D
155	132		Mercado	Patrick	594	
156	133		Messman	Stew	596	
157	134		Michaels	Drew	597	
158	135		Miracle	Joli	599	
159	136		Mooers	Barbara	603	
160	137		Morrison	Ron	605	
161	138		Munsterman	Dennis	606	
162	139		Nelson	John	608	
163	140		Nelson	Mrs.	609	
164	141		Nystrom	Kristofer	610	
165	142		Nystrom	Ranell	611	
166	143		Olsen	Debra	612	



167	144		Olsen	Tim	614	
168	145		Paine	Renee	615	
169	146		Pascualy	Maria	617	
170	147		Pfeninger	Brianna	618	
171	148		Pierce	Kathleen	619	
172	149		Ponraj	Lisa	621	
173	150		Pow	Amy	622	
174	150		Pow	Amy	625	
175	151	23	Powers	Rosemary	627	
176	152		Prosser	Kenn & Leslie	631	
177	153		Provazek	Janeen	633	
178	154		Provazek	Janeen	637	
179	155		Ramos	Janet	639	
180	156		Reeve	Malcolm	641	
181	157	24	Reichenberger	Adam	642	
182	158		Rendu	Yannick	644	
183	159		Rickey	Tom	645	
184	160		Riddick	Laura	647	
185	161		Ritchie	DeeDee	651	
186	162		Roberts	K.	652	
187	163		Robinson	Betsy	654	
188	164		Roosendaal	Lori	655	
189	165	25	Roseman	Gomer	656	
190	166		Rowe	Susan	659	
191	167		Ryan	Jeff	660	
192	167		Ryan	Jeff	661	
193	167		Ryan	Jeff	662	
194	167		Ryan	Jeff	669	
195	168		Samual	Samuel	672	
196	169		Sayers	Dannie	673	
197	170		Schilling	C	674	
198	171		Schumaker	Mary	675	
199	172		Senecal	Mary	677	
200	173		Shelton	Scott	678	
201	174		Sieberns	David	681	
202	175		Simpson	Lew	682	
203	176		Slagel	Jeff	683	
204	178		Stefanelli	Sharon	684	
205	179		Stephens	Heidi	685	
206	180		Stokes	James	689	
207	182		Stoner	Curt	690	
208	183	26	Stump	Marty	691	
209	184		Sullivan	Sean	694	

210	185	27	Svancarek	Laura	695	
211	186		Talen	Ryan	701	
212	187		Teitge	Scott	702	
213	188		Tepper	Jeff	717	
214	189		Thoburn	Gloria	719	
215	190		Tieger	Joe	720	
216	191		Torkar	Adam	722	
217	192		Tough	Randy	723	
218	193		Transe	Pamela	724	
219	194		Turco	Cynthia	725	
220	194		Turco	Cynthia	726	
221	195		Turco	Cynthia	728	
222	196		Turco	Cynthia	731	
223	197		Turner	Julie	732	
224	198		Ursich	Kathy	734	
225	199		Urwin	Cathie	735	
226	200		Verburg	Janice	737	
227	201		Vialle	Marvin	738	
228	202		Wagner	Scott	739	
229	203		Weldon	Victoria	740	
230	204		White	Heidi	742	
231	205		Wilkey	Roger	743	
232	206		Wilson	Frederick	745	
233	207		Wilson	Kurt	746	D
234	208		Woo	John	748	
235	209		Woodhams	Caroline	749	
236	210		Woodward	Emily	750	
237	211		Woodward	Eric	752	
238	212		Worthington	John	753	
239	213		Wynne	Kristen	757	
240	214	28	Wyse	Lowell	758	
241	215		Yarwood	Elliott	766	
242	216		Zaricor	Jodie	768	
243	217		From Events		769	

14 Cover Letters

		<b>Value of Scoring</b>	A (3) ->
			B (2) ->
			C (1) ->
			-A (-3) ->
			-B (-2) ->
			-C (-1) ->

= Development Organization		LC = Landscape Code, HP = Historic Preservation					
Organization/Address		Overall			PUBLIC WELFARE	PROTECT PUBLIC	PROTECT PRIVATE
ADDRESS	ZIP	HiT	LC	HP			
Bicycle Pedestrian Technical Advisory Group		A					
Landmarks Preservation Commission		C		A			
Parking Technical Advisory Group		-B					
Sustainable Tacoma Commission			A		A	A	A
Tacoma Area Commission On Disabilities							
Tacoma Permit Advisory Group		A	-A		-A	-A	-A
Transportation Commission					B		
2201 N Lawrence St							
City of Tacoma							
Babbit Construction		A				-A	
Babbit Construction		A				-A	
City of Federal Way			A			A	A
M Agency		-B					
				A			
1730 S Jackson Ave		B					
North End Neighborhood Council	406	B					
North End Neighborhood Council	406	-A		A			
North End Neighborhood Council	406	-A		A			
A.R.BERGMAN DRAFTING LLC	406	A	-A		-B		
		-B	A				
		-A					
		-A					
1202 S. Karl Johan Ave.		-A					
		-A					
1520 S. Karl Johan Ave	465	-A					
		-A					
		-A					
		-B					
			-B				
		-A					
The BRINK Law Firm		-B					
			A				
1258 S Fernside Drive		-A					
Louis Rudolph Homes		C	-B				
		A					
502 S. Sheridan Ave.	405			B			

502 S. Sheridan Ave.	405	-A		A			
707 N K St	403	-A					
<b>North Shore Historic District</b>	403	-A	A	A	A		
		-A	A				
		-A					
3620 N. 27th Street	407	-A					
625 N M St	403	-A		A			
		-A					
		-A					
7535 S. Hegra Rd.	465	-A					
7535 S. Hegra Rd.	465	-A					
710 N M Street	403	-A					
43 West Road	406	B	A				
<b>TACOMA URBAN FOREST FRIENDS (TUFF)</b>		B	A		A	A	A
		-A	A				
		-A					
<b>CONCERNED CITIZENS OF NORTH UNION</b>		-B					
		A					
		-A	A				
<b>701 N J STREET</b>	403	-A					
<b>701 N J STREET</b>	403	-A					
<b>701 N J STREET</b>	403	-A					
<b>701 N J STREET</b>	403	-A					
<b>701 N J STREET</b>	403	-A					
<b>HABITAT FOR HUMANITY</b>		B					
		-C	A				
			A				
			A				
		A					
		A					
616 North M St	403	-A					
		-A					
			A				
		-A					
			B				A
		C	C				
		C					
		-A					
<b>Rainbow Connect</b>		-A					
		H					
622 North Fairview Drive	406	-B					
902 South Fairview Dr.	465	A					
<b>948 N Cedar St.</b>		-A		A			

<b>West Slope Neighborhood Council</b>							
<b>West Slope Neighborhood Council</b>		-A					
616 N L Street	403	-A					
6241 S Huson St	402	-B					
Ferguson Architecture	402	A					
<b>614 N 6th Street</b>	403	-A	A		A	A	A
1608 S Meyers Street	465	-A					
		-B					
902 S Fairview Drive	465	-A					
		-A					
		-A					
<b>Master Builders-</b>		A	-B				
<b>1213 South Woodlawn St.</b>	465						
1702 S Mountain View Avenue		A					
1122 E 53rd St		-B					
		H					
		-A					
1727 Bridgeview Dr	406	-B					
<b>718 N K Street</b>	403	-A	A		A	A	A
<b>signed bt 208 residents</b>	403	-A	A		A	A	A
		B					
<b>56121 South 11th</b>	465	-B					
		A					
		-A					
Center for Urban Waters (CUW)		H					
<b>Community Council of Tacoma (CCoT)</b>		H					
		-A					
<b>626 Vista Drive</b>	465	-A					
		B					
		C					
, 718 North M St	403	-A					
<b>Washington State Department of Health</b>		N					
<b>932 S Sunset Dr</b>	465	N					
<b>932 S Sunset Dr</b>	465	N					
		N					
		-A					
		-A					
		-A					
		-A					

		B				
615 N Fairview Drive	406	-A				
		N				
		-A				
		H				
916 S Mountain View Ave.		-A				
	444	-A				
		-C				
718 North M Stre		-A				
	403	A				
Historic Tacoma		N				
Historic Tacoma		-A		A		
		A		-A		
		-A				
4225 Country Club Drive NE	422	-A				
520 North Cushman Ave.	403	-B				
902 S Aurora Ave		-B				
		-B				
		-A		A		
1526 Ventura Drive	465					
		A	-A			
515 North M St.	403	-A				
		-A				
1528 S. Walters Rd		-A				
Washington State Dept. of Transportation		N				
3012 N Narrows Drive, Unit 1		B	B			
701 North J Street,	503	-A	A	A		
		A				
3422 N Puget Sound Ave.	407	A	-A			
3422 N Puget Sound Ave.	407	A	-A			
3422 N Puget Sound Ave.	407	A				
		N				
1536 S Fernside Drive	465	-A				
		-A				
		-A				
		-A				
2405 No. Stevens St	406	-B				
		-A				
		-B				
		-A				
1409 North 6th Street	403	-A				
1409 North 6th Street	403	-A				
Firn Hill		-A				

		-A				
<b>2702 N. Yakima Ave.</b>		B	A			
509 N M st	403	-A				
		-A				
1502 S. Aurora Ave.	465	-A				
		-A				
		A				
<b>League of Women Voters</b>		-B				
<b>2112 North Puget Sound Ave.</b>		-A				
		N				
		-A				
		-A				
7601 S19th Street	466	-A				
<b>Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department</b>		B	A		A	A
		B	A			
1522 S Fernside Dr	465	-A				
		-A	A			
1222 S Fairview Drive		-A				
		-A				
<b>6874 E Roosevelt Ave</b>	404	N				
		N				
<b>Habitat for Humanity</b>		-B				
958 South Fernside Drive	465	-A				
		-B				
		-B				
		-B				
		-B				
		-A				
		-A				
		-A				
		-A				
		-A				
1710 S. Aurora Av	465	-A				
3701 North Adams	407	-A				
		-A				
		-A				
		-A				
1320 S Mountain View Ave		-A				
<b>313 N. J St Apt.22</b>		-A				
<b>Metro Parks Tacoma</b>		B				
616 N Cushman	403	-A				



Downtown On The Go		A					
		A					
		-A					
		N					
S. 16th St.		-A					
		-A					
		-B					
		-A					
		N	A				
		-A					
		-A					
		-A					
	403	-A					
		-A					
		-A					
		N	A				
		H					
9007 S 19th St, Suite 100	466	-A					
611 N M ST	403	-B					
		-A					
1330 S Mountain View		-A					
1216 S Sunset Dr	465	-A					
		A					
		-C					
620 N M St.	403	-A					
3420 N. 25th Street		-A					
		-A					
		-A					
		-A					
Tacoma Tree Foundation		C	A		A	A	A
		A					
		B					
		B					
	402	Overall					
	Comment T	HiT	LC	HP	PUBLIC WELFARE	PROTECT PUBLIC	PROTECT PRIVATE
	Total Commenting on this Issue->	199	36	13	10	11	10
	Total POSITIVE Commenters->	46	28	12	8	8	9
	Total NEGATIVE Commenters->	153	8	1	2	3	1
	Total Percentage POSITIVE Commenters->	23.1%	77.8%	92.3%	80.0%	72.7%	90.0%

<b>Total Percentage NEGATIVE Commenters-&gt;</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
Number of As (3 Pts)->	26	25	11	7	8	9
Number of Bs (2 Pts)->	14	2	1	1	0	0
Number of Cs (1 Pt)->	6	1	0	0	0	0
Number of -As (-3 Pts)->	125	5	1	1	3	1
Number of -Bs (-2 Pts)->	25	3	0	1	0	0
Number of -Cs (-1 Pt)->	3	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL VALUE-&gt;</b>	<b>-316</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>TOTAL COMMENTS-&gt;</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>VALUE PER COMMENT-&gt;</b>	<b>-1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>
Number of Builders/Developers->	11	10				

ation										
TREES & LANDSCAPING CODE										
PLANT PUBLIC	PRUNE PUBLIC	WATER PUBLIC	PUBLIC OVERSIGHT	RIGHTS OF WAY	BONUSES VARIANCES	STAFFING FUNDING	ENFORCEMENT	REGISTER TREE SERVICE	IMPACT FEES	Use New Technology
		A	A	A	-A	A	A			
			-A				-A			
									A	
										A



A					-A					
A	A	A	A	A	-A	A	A	A		
A	A	A	A	A	-A	A	A	A		









0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	3	4	5	4	0	4	6	2	4	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	14	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	9	12	12	12	-42	12	15	6	12	3
5	3	4	6	4	14	4	7	3	4	1
3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	-3.0	3.0	2.1	2.0	3.0	3.0

Miscellaneous			
Good for Walking	Good for Biking	Parking Concern	Climate Concern
	A		
		A	
			A
		A	
		A	



		-A	
		-A	
		-A	A
		-A	A
		-A	
		-A	
		-A	
		-A	



		A	

		-A	
			A
		-A	
<b>scellaneous</b>			
Walking	Biking	Parking	Climate
0	1	21	4
0	1	6	4
0	0	15	0
0.0%	100.0%	28.6%	100.0%

0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%
0	1	6	4
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	15	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	3	-27	12
0	1	21	4
0.0	3.0	-1.3	3.0



**From:** Michelle Mood <moodm@kenyon.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 4:41 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Cc:** Walker, Kristina; Woodards, Victoria; Rumbaugh, Sarah; Warren, Bucoda  
**Subject:** Comments for tomorrow's meeting

Hi, Just wanted to let you know that I am a strong supporter of approving the recommendations from the Charter Review that would shift the locus of decision-making to the elected officials of Tacoma. As you know, I have tried to increase the role of the City Council in repairing the negative outcomes from preexisting zoning laws and planning decisions. Some things have definitely changed for the better already, but there are worrying signs that certain decisions happen among unelected staffers who might be operating on business as usual from the last 30 years. We need to freshen things up and air things out and get the public servants' eyes focused on policy, policy implementation, and policy change more fully and effectively. I believe that the City Council know best what is best for Tacoma, and I support the Charter Review's recommendations to move away from a City Manager type of governance we currently have.

I also am excited of the Police Accountability recommendation, as I hope you are too. Let's accept this!

When certain departments make decisions that can overburden already burdened parts of the city, they do so without citizen input, and that can make the City Council and Mayor look bad. I understand that there are some old wounds from interactions with citizens, especially environmental activists, but I also know that Mayor Woodards is a gifted bridge-builder, and I hope that citizen input is increasingly valued. While some citizens may be less than useful partners, there is a rich, untapped group of citizens ready to help with crucial oversight of policy formation and implementation. We've seen that just relying on city staffers is not sufficient -- there are gaps and mistakes. To that end, please honor and include citizens as much as possible. This includes putting this Charter on the ballot as is. Thank you!

-Michelle

Dr. Michelle S. Mood (she, her, hers)  
3719 S. Gunnison St  
Tacoma, WA 98409

(c) 740-233-6333  
Long covid despite vaxxed, boosted, and Paxlovid  
#covidsucks

**From:** Jay Fish <bartenderfarmer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 3:58 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Charter Review Committee Report 24 in 24 for the City of Tacoma Council members  
**Attachments:** ANTHONY\_MARTIN\_Resume(0).pdf

Dear all City of Tacoma Council members, and the City Mayor and City Clerk;

I Jay Herring whom lives in Council district #4 ie Tacoma Eastside want to thank you for letting my public comment period for June 11th 2024 meeting. The Charter Review Committee report May 3, 2024 both former and current members on this review and updates; are how we the voting citizens can win the future of the City of Tacoma. After reading this amazing City of Tacoma 24 in 24! Charter Review Committee report, I gain clarity. The information was very transparent on how the voting citizens of this great city needs to move forward from the 1953 City Manager way of governing in a City population of more 223,000 people and growing fast. We are no long a Ttown USA but a major city in the State of Washington and the United States of America. I want the City Council to adopt all twenty-four charter amendments not just 6 or 10 of them cherry picked by this Council. So the voting public can obtain some type of equity and hold ourselves and our law makers accountable going forward 2034.

A strong Mayor and Stronger City Council is the only way to hold everyone accountable to the citizens. Neighborhood Councils should have more teeth in their powers to hold our law makers accountable to their needs of there Neighborhood's.

This means City Council and the mayor is full-time employees. I think the Geroge Floyd Policing reform act should be adopted into the Policing Accountability part of this report. If a police officer takes a human life within the City of Tacoma, there need to be a laws in place in this Charter or past by City Council that if the deadly use of force is outside the scope of the Charter or the SOP's of the Police Accountability Commission or Police department or the courts.

The police personnel will forfeit his or her's retirement to pay for the victims family's. Also his or her's Peace Officer Training and Certification will be revoked so this type of officer doesn't get a job in Another City, County in the greater State of Washington.

That's Accountability and Transparency, Equity and Clarity we the voting citizens of this great City called Tacoma.

We must have a real Climate Change Commission that reports to City Council and the Mayor on how we can get Tacoma Public utilities design full on solar and wind power installation to all City of Tacoma buildings. All our citizens hear from the City Manager and City Council we don't have money for this or that in our neighborhood Council meetings. Let's ask the questions that gives us all clarity.

What is the monthly power bill for Tacoma Public Utilities Headquarters, City Hall, Tacoma Dome, Tacoma Police Headquarters, City Community Centers, every Fire station and Maintance building etc. The City of Tacoma can save so much money on our power usage and feed back into our Public grid to lower every power bill. Plus fund other measures with in the 24 in 24! Charter Changes in the committee report of May 3rd 3024.

Please adopt all 24 amendments and give we the people 90 day's to get the signatures to get the Charter changes voting on November 5th 2024 elections. We don't want to hear excuses about timing and money. The voting public can read through the ballot and make the right decision to change and win the future of the City of Tacoma Washington. We are better than 1953 way of governing our City. I hope you agree.

Respectfully

Jay Herring District #4.

Lastly I want to nominate Pastor Tony Martin of the Eastside Community to fill in for Council Member Uska empty seat RIP 🙏. Tony Martin has lived on the district #4 since 1968. I think he would speak for the citizens best in District #4 than anyone could.

The climate commission would have quarterly reports on progress of getting our City act together and create job's for local citizens. I have provided Tony Martin resume below. I have also provided a AP report on Police Accountability in L.A. that we must adopt.

In closing I request all 24 charter amendments in May 2,2024 report be adopted via a vote and let the people of Tacoma have more of a say on issues that really matter.

Sincerely

Jay Herring

[Bartenderfarmer@gmail.com](mailto:bartenderfarmer@gmail.com)

<https://apnews.com/article/mark-fuhrman-decertified-oj-simpson-trial-3e3e691a5c6b5671dd8aedf06b96b444>

**From:** Morgan Vanderpool <morganicmovement@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 1:21 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Charter Review Recommendations- Council Meeting 6.11.24

Dear City Council- As a 16 year resident and community servant in Tacoma, WA I'm writing with great support of the following wide held requests to be considered & incorporated by the city.

- Approve the Police Accountability recommendation “as is” without any changes/amendments for the November ballot! We need the independent oversight and clear descriptions of powers that would be part of the Office of Policing Accountability and Community Oversight Committee for Policing Accountability, enshrined in law.
- We need decisions being made by *elected* officials, who are accountable to the public. We need more power to be concentrated in the body of the City Council--not one individual. Approve the recommendation to change the form of government away from having city manager!
- Tacoma needs a Climate Commission! The lack of meaningful action since the city's 2019 Climate Emergency Declaration, and the magnitude of the possible consequences, demonstrates why this needs to be part of the City Charter, not just a resolution or ordinance! Our eco system and our residents are a risk of loss of life or a life lived unwell when our ecosystems & climate is not first and foremost in our governance.
- The Charter Review is an important process for updating the way the city runs, to stay current with our times, address local concerns & needs, & for making Tacoma a better place to live and work. The city charter should no longer just detail the administration and ethics of local government. Experts recommend the charter also speak to the role of public engagement in local governance by elevating the importance of just, inclusive, and equitable public engagement. Voters need to be allowed the chance to decide what belongs in the charter by weighing in on the recommendations that shape our city's future. Some recommendations have clearly garnered a lot of public interest and must be allowed to move forward to the November ballot!

May you hear these requests echoed clearly and collectively in the chambers and in your minds & hearts!

In commitment to the safety, wellbeing & just-longevity of the Tacoma Community,

**Morgan Vanderpool, LICSW (they/elle, he/él)**  
Collective Neuropractor | Speaker | Facilitator | Trainer | Consultant  
[www.MorganicMovement.com](http://www.MorganicMovement.com)  
**Instagram: @MorganicMovement**  
**LinkedIn: [Morgan Vanderpool, LICSW](#)**



*P.S. If a time-bound response is needed, please feel welcome to text me at 253-697-0190, as my email response time can vary.*

**From:** maggie walker <magwalk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:53 AM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Comments for City Council meeting 6/11

Dear Miss Emery,

I am a constituent and I would like to submit my comments for the council's consideration.

Please approve the Policy Accountability recommendation **as is** without any changes or amendments to the November ballot. We need independent oversight.

Please approve the recommendation to change the form of government away from having a city manager. We need decisions to be made by elected officials so they can be accountable to the voters.

Thank you for your time,  
Maggie Walker

4302 S J St  
Tacoma, WA 98418

--

**Maggie Walker**  
[they/them](#)  
[magwalk.me](http://magwalk.me)  
(401) 714-6314  
Tacoma, WA

*"Instructions for living a life:*

- Pay attention*
  - Be astonished*
  - Tell about it"*
- ~ Mary Oliver*

June 11, 2024

To whom it may concern:

The Tacoma Police Department Harrison Shooting Range located in Northeast Tacoma has been in operation for over 50 years. During that time hundreds of thousands to millions of rounds of ammunition have been fired. That is why this property has documented reports of extremely high levels of lead and other heavy metals contained in its soil. The City has known for years that this soil is contaminated and has done little to nothing to deal with this environmental disaster. To give all of you some clarity as to the hazards this range poses to the public and the environment one of the catch basins test reports showed levels of lead almost 12 times above the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup limits. This catch basin is connected to the storm water system and without any onsite pretreatment leads directly to the Hylebos Waterway and thus into the Puget Sound contaminating the waters that we use for recreation, food and is home to the endangered resident Orca Whales. After receiving several hundred of documents through public disclosures requests I was concerned enough to file a complaint with the Washington State Department of Ecology earlier this year. I will continue to bring to you and the citizens of Tacoma more information to follow regarding this site. The citizens of Tacoma need to know the truth about City of Tacoma looking the other way when it comes to its own responsibility for the environment. Now is the time for action. More documentation will be provided at the next community forum.

Daren H. Holter

Daren H. Holter  
1823 S Visscher St  
Tacoma WA 98465  
253-677-0800  
[darenholter@gmail.com](mailto:darenholter@gmail.com)

Attachments: Copy of soil test catch basin CB01/CB02

**From:** Daren Holter <darenholter@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:05 AM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Community forum June 11, 2024  
**Attachments:** City Council Community Forum 6.11.2024.docx



## City of Tacoma

*Environmental Services Laboratory*

2201 Portland Avenue Tacoma WA 98421  
 Phone: 253.591.5588 Fax: 253.502.2170

Lab#: 2009-04274

Report Date: Tuesday, August 11, 2009

Sample ID: CB-1-090803

Harrison Gun Range

Sample Type: Soil/Sediment

Sample Collect Date: 8/3/2009 9:55

Sample Receipt Date: 8/3/2009

<u>Test</u>	<u>Analysis Date</u>	<u>Analytical Method:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>PQL</u>	<u>MDL</u>	<u>CAS#</u>
CONVENTIONAL							
Total Solids	8/4/2009	SM2540 B	76.2	percent	5.0	1.0	
Metals							
Copper	8/10/2009	6010B	100	mg/Kg	9.4	1.8	7440-50-8
Lead	8/10/2009	6010B	591	mg/Kg	9.4	4.5	7439-92-1
Zinc	8/10/2009	6010B	152 J	mg/Kg	9.43	0.73	7440-66-6

- Flags:
- U: The analyte was not detected at or above the reported value.
  - UJ: The analyte was not detected at or above the reported estimated result. See QC Report.
  - NJ: TIC There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated value is an estimate.
  - J: The analyte was positively identified. The associated value is an estimate. See QC Report.
  - B: The analyte was detected but is less than the Project Reporting Limit Goal.
  - R: The value is unusable.

*Lori G. Zboralski*

Tuesday, August 11, 2009

Reviewed By: Lori Zboralski

Date



# City of Tacoma

## Environmental Services Laboratory

2201 Portland Avenue Tacoma WA 98421  
Phone: 253.591.5588 Fax: 253.502.2170

Lab#: 2009-04275

Report Date: Tuesday, August 11, 2009

Sample ID: CB-2-090803

Harrison Gun Range

Sample Type: Soil/Sediment

Sample Collect Date: 8/3/2009 10:45

Sample Receipt Date: 8/3/2009

<u>Test</u>	<u>Analysis Date</u>	<u>Analytical Method:</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>PQL</u>	<u>MDL</u>	<u>CAS#</u>
CONVENTIONAL							
Total Solids	8/4/2009	SM2540 B	80.2	percent	5.0	1.0	
Metals							
Copper	8/10/2009	6010B	17200	mg/Kg	96.2	18.3	7440-50-8
Lead	8/10/2009	6010B	2990	mg/Kg	96.2	46.2	7439-92-1
Zinc	8/10/2009	6010B	328 J	mg/Kg	96.2	7.40	7440-66-6

- Flags: U: The analyte was not detected at or above the reported value.  
UJ: The analyte was not detected at or above the reported estimated result. See QC Report.  
NJ: TIC There is evidence the analyte is present. The associated value is an estimate.  
J: The analyte was positively identified. The associated value is an estimate. See QC Report.  
B: The analyte was detected but is less than the Project Reporting Limit Goal.  
R: The value is unusable.

*Lori A. Zboralski*

Tuesday, August 11, 2009

Reviewed By: Lori Zboralski

Date



LABORATORY QC VARIANCE FORM

Problem (short description): The duplicate and spike were outside the QC control limits for Copper

Lab Area (Metals, Conventionals, Organics): Metals

Date Identified: 08/05/09, updated on 8/11/2009

Person whom identified: Barbara Pemberton

Problem (detail description): The copper duplicate and the spike were outside the acceptable limits for the Harrison Range catch basin samples, Lab ID 2009-04274 and 2009-04275.

Sample	value	dup %	spk %	PDS%
2009-04274	99.3 mg/Kg	55	<3000	102

The sample had metal shavings in the sample. The samples were mixed as well as possible before digestion. Sample 2009-04275 (CB-2) had gravel and copper shell casings that had to be set aside because of size. Copper was very high in that sample too.

The Harrison range catch-basins were dried, ground, sieved, re-digested and analyzed on 8/10/2009. The 8/10/2009 analyses were reported.

Effect on Data: None - sig 8/11/09

	Signed By	Date
QC Officer	<u>Leila Zboralski</u>	<u>8/11/09</u>
Senior Analyst	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8-11-09</u>
Sr. Env. Specialist	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/12/09</u>

**From:** Daren Holter <darenholter@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:16 AM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** additional files for community forum please attach with previous material I sent earlier



City of Tacoma  
Environmental Services

**Memorandum**

**TO:** Rick Melvin, P.E. Special Projects, Engineering  
**FROM:** Christopher L. Getchell, Asst. Division Manager, Env. Services Laboratory  
**SUBJECT:** Cost Recovery ENV-04004-13-04  
**DATE:** August 11, 2009

Attached are the analysis results for the Harrison Range samples collected August 3, 2009. Grab samples were analyzed for Solids, Copper, Lead and Zinc.

The Environmental Services Laboratory analyzed the samples. A detailed Quality Control Data Review report was prepared and is attached for your review.

If you have any questions concerning these results, call me at (253) 502-2130. Please note that the sample associated with this report will be discarded six months from the date of this report unless requested otherwise.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Christopher L. Getchell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Christopher L. Getchell  
Assistant Division Manager

## Quality Control Data Review

TO: Christopher L. Getchell, Asst. Division Manager  
FROM: Lori A. Zboralski, Senior WWTP Laboratory Analyst *LZ*  
DATE: August 11, 2009

### SAMPLES

This report concerns the following samples associated with **Cost Recovery ENV-04004-13-04**:

<u>Sample Description</u>	<u>Lab #</u>	<u>Date Sampled</u>
CB-1-090803	2009-04274	08/03/2009
CB-2-090803	2009-04275	08/03/2009

### HOLDING TIMES

The samples were analyzed within the required holding times of 7 days for Solids and 180 days for Copper, Lead and Zinc.

### METHODS

The samples were analyzed according to SM2540B for Total Solids and SW-846 Method 6010B.

### METHOD DETECTION LIMITS

All analytes are reported to the Method Detection Limit (MDL). Values greater than the MDL and less than the Practical Quantitation Limit (reporting limit or PQL) are reported for your information. Values may be qualified as estimated (J) as not as precise as values reported greater than the PQL.

### METHOD BLANKS

Method Blanks were analyzed at the required frequencies for the methods. The concentrations of these blanks were less than 1/5 the amount found in the samples or less than the detection limits.

### LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) monitor the analytical system by carrying a standard through every step of the analytical method including extraction or digestion. The LCS recoveries for these analyses were within laboratory established control limits.

### DUPLICATE SAMPLE ANALYSIS

Duplicate samples had differences of less than the reporting limits for analytes with concentrations less than five times the reporting limits and Relative Percent Differences (RPD) of less than 35%. No data was qualified based on duplicate analysis.

#### MATRIX SPIKE ANALYSIS

A Matrix Spike was analyzed for Total Metals. The recoveries were within the laboratory's control limits of 75 – 125%, except for Lead with a recovery of 422%. The sample concentration was greater than 4 times the amount added so no data is qualified.

#### ICP SERIAL DILUTIONS

Serial dilution of samples analyzed by ICP provides information about physical or chemical interferences that may exist due to sample matrix.

The sample was analyzed at a five-fold dilution. All analytes had percent difference (%D) of the diluted sample compared to the undiluted sample of less than 10% for analytes greater than 50 times the IDL, **except for Zinc with a %D of 11%. The Zinc values for both samples are qualified as estimated (J).**

**From:** Fred Dowell <freddowell54@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 12:50 AM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office; Hines, John; Scott, Jamika; Bushnell, Joe; kdaniels@cityoftacona.org; Walker, Kristina; Rumbaugh, Sarah; Diaz, Olgy  
**Subject:** CRC review

I am writing in regards to some of the things the CRC brought up and that you're reviewing and wanted to give you my thoughts on this.

I am in favor of a strong mayor government instead of the current set up with a strong city manager.

We the people should be able to vote on who's running our city and we don't get that with the city manager position even though all of you have input.

The second issue that I'm in favor of is a full-time City Council as I feel the current situation doesn't address all the needs of our city, especially when the city council has a meeting and it lasts for 90 mins or less and that includes all the proclamations recognitions. ( which are too many and then you never even recognized salute to Nurses Week)

But my concern with a full-time city council means that you're going to commit to be in the office 5 days a week, you're not going to be taking days off.

You need to be addressing the issues in the city.

I know many of you have other boards and committees you sit on but some of you don't show up on Tuesdays and that should be the most important day of the week for you to attend.

I am NOT IN FAVOR of extending term limits for elected officials.

Two four year terms is enough!

However I'd like to see you reduce the terms to 2 THREE YEAR TERMS.

Quite frankly many of us taxpayers feel that the city council does not move fast enough on issues in the city and extending term limits will just make it worse in my opinion.

Case in point the city is been talking about impact fees for developers and Builders and yet here we are two to three years later and you've done nothing, even though it was brought up I believe in 2021, as Deputy Mayor Hines mentioned earlier this year.

He mentioned then the cities around Tacoma have impact fees but we don't please tell me why?

Perhaps having a full-time city council would speed up this process. We don't need more Consultants you've got information from before use it and get it in place.

I will be watching the meetings coming up about the CRC and hope to hear some discussion about the term limits.

I know there are other things important like the 350 Tacoma group wanting police accountability Etc, but they did not mention the term limits

But I feel the term limits need to be addressed and be put on the ballot and if necessary have two options leave it at the current two 4 year terms or let us vote on two 3 year terms.

Thank you  
Fred Dowell

Tacoma

**From:** Fred Dowell <freddowell54@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 11:16 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office; Hines, John; Scott, Jamika; Bushnell, Joe; Rumbaugh, Sarah; Diaz, Olgy; kdaniels@cityoftacona.org; kwalker@cityoftacona.org  
**Subject:** \$40,000 for an art mural on Union Avenue

<https://www.facebook.com/share/p/RfMkio9RQqx76ewQ/?mibextid=qi2Omg>

Attached is the story from the News Tribune that they had on their Facebook page and I hope all you will read this since I'm sure all of you have Facebook accounts

As you can see the majority of the people on that post from the News Tribune are against spending the money but it seems that it's already been decided that it's going to be spent

1. Who was the person that authorized slashed approved this \$40,000 expenditure
2. Did the city council have a resolution on this and vote to approve it I don't remember seeing one
3. Seems to be a fairly large amount of money and the city council doesn't have to approve it?
4. You had to approve the purchase of golf carts for for the sewage treatment facility but you don't for artwork?

I think you should step back from this project as many of us feel that this is going to be tagged as soon as it's done and quite frankly it's a waste of money

You have other pressing needs in this city then adding this \$40,000 to the money that you've wasted so far such as

\$10,000 for telephone cards for people in the Federal Detention Center

\$60,000 for an artist to work for environmental services and we haven't heard anything about that what they've done so far

Up to \$12,500 for students to travel to China?

And now the \$40,000 for this project

That's \$122,500 that the city could spend better somewhere else

Like adding more surveys sent out to households in Tacoma instead of the 750 of the 90,000 households in the city, which your post on your Facebook page stated that this was critical for the city to get this information yet you're only sending it out to less than 1% of the households absolutely ridiculous

Fred Dowell  
Tacoma

**From:** twm1301@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 5:14 PM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** CRC

Several points come to mind when it comes to the Charter Recommendations:

1) No to extended term limits. Just more time to get nothing done. Just look at our most pressing issues - they have been prevalent since 2014 (DEI, Homelessness and such (I can refer to a Resolution where former Mayor Strickland instructed City Manager Pauli to work on those problems; 10 years ago!). We are being taxed on various levels, more Commissions being created and after all those years - where exactly are we? Now I would be very hesitant to believe that those people in charge would be able to accomplish much by merely being on the job longer.

2) Anyone who has a lot of authority in decision making, should be voted into office by us, the people and we should be given background on anyone running for office and not just accept a statement that they write themselves (see the job performance of our City Manager - she got to write that herself.!) All statements should be checked, but according the SOS, even our voters pamphlet are not fact checked. - you could claim you're the 2nd coming, and SOS would print that in the voters pamphlet. That's actually a slap in the face and does not promote any kind of trust that we are getting the truth. It should also be more out in the open and publicized that anyone can check at the PDC ( Public Disclosure Commission) on campaign contributions and political affiliation.

3) Why can the City (which, by the way is the only one) not charge impact fees? Oh, no, we need to hire a consultant to figure out how to do that. I am amazed that there is nobody in the planning who could come up with a workable suggestion or two?  
The City of Spokane (same size as Tacoma) who has been able to work a plan and has been for years! But no, instead, our Council members have to travel to and fro across the country to compare notes with other municipalities. Why? You have been trying to get something going since 2018. What's the sticking point?

4) Property Tax Exemptions should be halted for a while - let those projects that have already been approved or started continue, then once those come on line, let's take a look and reevaluate. And then, we all know that none of those 20% of the units are hardly affordable to the majority. Not to mention extensions to the exemptions until 2031. There were already 4 projects in 2024 that were granted this extension.

5) Police accountability? Of course, that is a must but also needs to extend to anyone in a position of power and control of the purse strings.

6) Why was the City Manager able to change the TMC regarding the 50 cent limit change to 65 cents for the TFD levy request?  
It is amazing how fast this was decided by just one person?. But, then every time the City needs more money, there's always a way for the law/policies to be changes, yet on the other side, when we need to get something done, we are told "we don't have the funds".

We need some serious auditing in this City! Also, I would like to have the Council/Mayor etc. look at the priorities how our tax dollars are spent. Just reading the expenditures on the Contingency Fund. 10K for the Refugee Choir, 10K for phone cards for ICE detainees to phone home, 5K for cultural & economic with our sister city in Morocco, 20K for the P/C Climate Conversation. Are those really priorities? The only expense I would wholeheartedly agree with is the 10K requested by CM Daniels for "raising girls". Now that is something that will benefit many families.

One more thing. I would like for Council Members to stay clear of issuing press releases concerning national and international events. For instance the release regarding the was in Gaza. We do not need to be told how to feel about any certain issue. This City is a melting pot of many nationalities and taking sides in your official capacity is highly



inappropriate.

Thank you for letting me vent.

Monika May  
Resident of Tacoma since 1985

**From:** Natalie Franz <eilatan5445@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 10:54 AM  
**To:** City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Charter Review Recommendations - on the November ballot!

Tacoma deserves better police accountability with an independent oversight commission & oversight powers for CPAC, the Community Police Accountability Commission. And Tacoma residents deserve to live in a city where its governments' decisions are made by people representing them—not by an unelected and unaccountable city manager. **I urge you** to allow the important Charter Review Recommendations onto the November ballot for a vote of the people.

Sincerely,  
Natalie Franz  
3710 S 11th St, Tacoma, WA 98405

JUNE 6, 2024

TO: Mayor Woodcock, City Manager Pauli,  
City Attorney Bacha, & all members of Economic  
Civ. Council

Subject: GAZA - IN WAR & PEACE

### WORLD WAR I (WWI)

Gaza was a Ottoman "strong-point" on its Eastern Med coast protecting British advances from Egypt. The British failed in the "1ST Battle of GAZA" campaign with over 7 thousand casualties in this trench warfare. The British commander brought in 2 secret weapons for the "2ND Battle of GAZA". These were the new British battle tanks, eight of them to pierce the trenches and POISON GAS - the first time it was used in the Middle East! The British only crossed the 1ST trench line and the Ottoman defense lines held. The British incurred another 3 thousand killed and wounded. After regrouping - the "3RD Battle of GAZA" took place with British success. The Ottoman commander earlier withdrew his forces to DAMASCUS and left a small rearguard force that the British defeated.

CONT PAGE 2

1947-1948

The year prior was a lull in conflict punctuated by riots between Jews & Arabs. This is when GAZA became a large "REFUGEE CAMP" from Israel becoming a state that was created by the expulsion of its indigenous population, also they fled for safety from the fighting. From this time on it was supported by the United Nations and other NGOs.

### Yasser Arafat's Palestine Liberation Organization (P.L.O.)

The P.L.O. became the political unit that controlled GAZA. The Israeli President GENERAL RABINE expelled 2 TO 3 thousand Jewish settlers to advance the "2 STATE" solution that the US supported and was agreed provisionally in a agreement at Camp David with President Carter, President BEGIN (ISRAEL) and Yasser Arafat leader of the P.L.O.. This peace process was destroyed with the assassination of President RABINE by a Jewish extremist. The next Israeli leader imposed the opposite of peace in GAZA.

## HAMAS PERIOD

With the death of YASSAR ARAFAT, who controlled the PLO and GAZA tightly, a internal power struggle ensued between the PLO and HAMAS, a more militant organization - won.

With HAMAS in power, Israel began a 'low-intensity conflict' with HAMAS in GAZA. The flashpoint was the assassination of the founder & spiritual leader of HAMAS, sheikh YASSIM by serial drone on a main street in GAZA. All that was left was parts of his wheelchair. The rockets they shoot toward ISRAEL are named after him. Because of constant attacks, HAMAS over the years, built hundreds of miles of tunnels underground - the PLO never done this.

It is my conclusion that a goal of these attacks by ISRAEL was to intentionally, to provoke & radicalize HAMAS to encourage a divide between HAMAS and the Palestine Authority (PA) so as not to have a 'two-state solution'.

Michele Reich